

arbitrators' awards (hereafter the "Awards") delivered to Claimant Bahig F. Bishay (hereafter "Bishay") with a residence at 163 Blue Hill Drive, Westwood, Massachusetts. Said Awards, and particularly the so-called Final Awards (hereafter the "Final Awards"), were delivered to Bishay in June 2005 by the American Arbitration Association's personnel (hereafter "AAA"), with a Northeast Case Management Center located at 950 Warren Avenue, E. Providence, Rhode Island, and with headquarters located at 335 Madison Avenue, New York City, New York. Based on the foregoing diversity, Claimant Bishay believes this is the proper venue to file this Application.

2. Pursuant to the U.S. Arbitration Act Title 9, U.S.C. Section 10(a)(1), which states as follows:

In any of the following cases the United States court in and for the district wherein the award was made may make an order <u>vacating the award</u> upon the application of any party to the arbitration ... where the award was procured by <u>corruption</u>, <u>fraud</u>, or <u>undue means</u>" (emphasis added)

Claimant Bishay submits that one or more of the Awards delivered by AAA, and annexed hereto, was/were procured by <u>corruption</u>, <u>fraud or undue means</u>, as evident by one or more Award[s] not executed by the designated Arbitrators, namely: Carla S. Cox, who also served as the Chairperson during the subject arbitration proceedings; Charles J. Speleotis; and Paul Peter Nicolai.

Based on the evidence of apparent tampering, corruption, fraud and undue means, 3. which are illustrated by offering herein what appears to be Cox's authentic signature affixed to her Ruling on Claimant's Request for Clarification of Discovery Scheduling Order dated August 23, 2004, which is annexed hereto as Exhibit A; what appears to be Cox's authentic signature affixed to her Interim Award dated January 12, 2005, which is annexed hereto as Exhibit B; what appears to be her authentic signature affixed to her Ruling on Respondent's Motion to Stay Implementation of Interim Award dated March 16, 2005, which is annexed hereto as Exhibit C; what appears to be her authentic signature affixed to her Ruling on Claimant's Motion for Clarification of Interim Award dated March 16, 2005, which is annexed hereto as Exhibit D; what appears to be her authentic signature affixed to her Ruling on Respondent's Motion to Postpone Hearing on the Paragraph 22 Claim dated March 16, 2005, which is annexed hereto as Exhibit E; and what after recent examination is determined to be a different handwriting of a signature affixed to what AAA's Case Manager Paula C. Dubois purported to be Cox's Final Award dated June 16, 2005, annexed hereto as Exhibit F – the stark disparity between Cox's apparently authentic signatures reflected in Exhibits: A, B, C, D and E, on one hand, and the signature purported by Dubois to be Cox's signature, which appears in Exhibit F herein, on the other hand -- noting that Exhibit F represents the most significant Award in the case, which Bishay expected would exceed \$5.0 million in cash assessed against the Respondent – now begs the inescapable conclusion that Cox's purported signature affixed to the Final Award dated June

2

16, 2005, reflected in Exhibit F herein, is indeed not Cox's signature; and Exhibit F is accordingly presumed not to be Cox's Final Award. Bishay, therefore, submits that corruption, fraud and undue means have adequately been established herein, with the full meaning intended in the U.S. Arbitration Act Title 9, U.S.C. Section 10(a)(1).

- 4. Based on the foregoing, this Court ought not permit AAA, or the Respondent, for that matter, any wiggle room as to whether a single or multiple event[s] of corruption, fraud and/or undue means has/have already been unearthed as of this date, to satisfy Bishay's obligations pursuant to U.S.C Section 10(a)(1) in connection with the subject arbitration proceedings -- as Bishay expects the Respondent might seek in an attempt to exonerate AAA's Case Manager Paula C. Dubois from such acts -- which this Court ought not distinguish from common-law fraud, corruption and undue means intended to deprive Bishay from receiving more than \$5.0 million -- because each Arbitrator in this case was aware and willingly accepted the duty of executing his/her respective Award, individually, as confirmed by the clear and unambiguous language, specifically appearing above each Arbitrator's signature, as follows:
  - "I, Carla S. Cox, do hereby affirm upon my oath as Arbitrator that I am the individual described in and who executed this instrument which is my Award":
  - "I, Charles J. Speleotis, do hereby affirm upon my oath as Arbitrator that I am the individual described in and who executed this instrument which is my Award"; and
  - "I, Paul Peter Nicolai, do hereby affirm upon my oath as Arbitrator that I am the individual described in and who executed this instrument which is my Award." [Emphasis added]

#### RELEVANT FACTS

5. On January 12, 2001, Claimant Bishay entered into an agreement with Respondent Brighton Avenue Associates, LLC., (hereafter "BAA") and its owners: Harold Brown, Enrique Darer and Carl Valeri (hereafter the "Agreement"). Said Agreement contained certain formula

Page 4 of 7

which allowed Bishay to own up to 25% of BAA, and also obligated BAA and its owners to pay Bishay another \$5.0 million in cash upon securing permits to build either 200+ housing units, or an additional 190,000 square feet of commercial space on top of approximately 60,000 square feet of existing retail space at the site known as 1095 Commonwealth Avenue, Boston.

- 6. Despite certain prerequisites met by Bishay, BAA refused to allow Bishay to own any interest in BAA. Moreover, and instead of proceeding with the required diligence to secure the permits and pay Bishay another \$5.0 million in cash, BAA devoted its efforts and resources to fight Bishay every step of the way, and self-induced superficial obstacles to avoid securing the required permits and pay Bishay \$5.0 million in cash.
- 7. Because the Agreement required the parties to resolve such disputes through the American Arbitration Association (hereafter "AAA"), in August 2003, Bishay paid AAA the initial \$10,000 administrative fee; submitted his request for arbitration; and paid AAA half of its Arbitrators' fees through June, 2005, as required by the Agreement.
- 8. In 2004, both Bishay and BAA agreed that a panel of three arbitrators would sit-in to review the evidence, hear testimony, and return three separate awards. The first Award dealt with Bishay's equity in BAA of up to 25%, and is entitled the "Interim Award", and the second Award dealt with BAA's obligations to pay Bishay \$5.0 million in cash, and is entitled the "Final Award".
- 9. Based on the foregoing, Bishay now submits that one or more Award[s] delivered to him by AAA's personnel was/were not the Awards[s] executed by the respective Arbitrator[s]. and otherwise procured by corruption, fraud or undue means.
- 10. Despite the strong likelihood of this Court's confirmation of corruption, fraud or undue means in connection with the procurement of the subject Awards, based on the evidence

presented herein, in the recent weeks, AAA and its Arbitrators refused to make available to Bishay true and accurate copies of the Arbitrators' notes taken during the proceedings; their individual analysis made during the same proceedings; copies of the actual individual Awards which each Arbitrator presumably signed and retained copy of same, which Bishay believes are not the same Awards delivered to Bishay by AAA's Case Manager Paula C. Dubois; and all written communications between AAA and the three Arbitrators – all of which were fully paid for by Bishay and BAA, and Bishay was indeed entitled to request pursuant to AAA's Rule 47, as reflected in Exhibit I mentioned in Paragraph 12 below.

- 11. While the most obvious bogus award is Cox's, Bishay expects that the same perpetrator who prepared and signed Cox's Final Award also prepared and signed either Speleotis' Award or Nicolai's Award, or both, which re annexed hereto as Exhibits G and H.
- 12. While Bishay believes that the unearthing of one event of corruption, fraud or undue means is more than adequate proof to vacate the Awards in this case pursuant to U.S.C. Section 10(a)(1) of U.S. Arbitration Act Title 9 -- should this Court, however, deem it appropriate to further investigate one or more Award[s] in the same case, Bishay respectfully requests this Court to direct AAA and its three Arbitrators to deliver to him the specific material Bishay already requested from AAA and its three Arbitrators by four letters dated July 21, 2005 and July 22, 2005, copies of which are annexed hereto as Exhibits: I, J, K and L.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Bishay expects that this Court will not tolerate the existence of a single act of corruption, fraud and undue means in this case, and therefore requests the Court to issue an order after hearing, granting the following relief:

a. Vacate the Interim & Final Awards in this case;

- b. Direct AAA to refund Bishay's share of fees paid to date, his attorney's fees and out
  of pocket costs incurred in connection with the AAA proceedings;
- c. Schedule either a bench or a jury trial before this Court, to resolve the subject contract dispute originally assigned to AAA; and
- d. Grant any other relief this Court deems just and/or appropriate.

Respectfully submitted

Bahig F. Bishay, Pro se

Dated this 266 day of August, 2005

Bahig F. Bishay 163 Blue Hill Drive Westwood, MA 02090 Phone: 781 326 3310

Phone: 781.326.3310 Fax: 781.326.6690

#### **VERIFICATION**

I, Bahig F. Bishay, hereby depose and say that: I am the Claimant in the above captioned matter. I reside at 163 Blue Hill Drive, Westwood, Massachusetts 02090. I have read the foregoing Application, and am familiar with the details of the issues raised herein. The information submitted above is true, to the best of my knowledge, except as to matters herein stated to be alleged upon information and belief, reasonable presumptions, and/or conclusions.



## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I caused true and accurate copies of this document together with all attached exhibits and summons to be served upon AAA and BAA by depositing same into the hands of United States marshal, and by Priory Mail to Arbitrators Cox, Speleotis and Nicolai, this day 26%, August, 2005.

Bahig Bishay

## **EXHIBIT-A**

## AMERICAN ARBITRATION ASSOCIATION Arbitration Tribunal

	_	Re: 11 Y 115 01777 03
In the Matter of the Arbitration between	)	10. 11 1 113 01777 03
Dell's Pistone	)	DITTING ONLOT A TRALINGS
Bahig Bishay Claimant	)	RULING ON CLAIMANT'S REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION
Brighton Avenue Associates, LLC, Harold Brown,	)	OF DISCOVERY SCHEDULING
Enrique Darer and Carl Valeri	)	ORDER
Respondents	ر د	

We, THE UNDERSIGNED ARBITRATORS, having been designated in accordance with the arbitration agreement entered into between the above-named parties dated January 12, 2001, (the "P&S") and having been duly sworn, and having considered the parties' various electronic communications to the case administrator dated August 17, 2004, rule as follows:

- 1. The Discovery Scheduling Order dated June 10, 2004, resulted from a preliminary hearing conducted by telephone on June 7, 2004, at which all parties were present through their counsel, and during which all counsel discussed all discovery deadlines, and each counsel was free to object to any particular dates and suggest alternative deadlines.
- 2. Inasmuch as the Discovery Scheduling Order was the result of the agreements reached by all counsel during the preliminary telephonic conference, and there has been no showing by the Claimant that the discovery schedule as established by the Discovery Scheduling Order is unfair, prejudicial or otherwise objectionable, it shall stand as issued: "All Responses and objections to Requests for Production of Documents shall be submitted no later than October 15, 2004."

Dated: August 23, 2004

Carla S. Cox

Panel Chair, for the panel

# **EXHIBIT-B**

## AMERICAN ARBITRATION ASSOCIATION Commercial Arbitration Tribunal

In the Matter of the Arbitration between

Re: 11 115 Y 01777 03

Bahig Bishay

("Claimant")

and

Brighton Avenue Associates, LLC

("Respondent")

### INTERIM AWARD OF ARBITRATORS ON CLAIM UNDER PARAGRAPH 20 OF THE PARTIES' CONTRACT

We, THE UNDERSIGNED ARBITRATORS, having been designated in accordance with the arbitration agreement entered into between the above-named parties dated January 12, 2001, ("P&S") and having been duly sworn, and having considered the evidence adduced by the parties at the hearings on the Claimant's claim under Paragraph 20 of the P&S, and having duly deliberated, hereby Pind and Award as follows:

#### **Findings**

- 1. Respondent failed to use its "best efforts to enter into leases . . . (with) third party tenants no later than December 1, 2001" as required by Paragraph 20 of the P&S.
- 2. Had Respondent used its best efforts to enter into leases with third party tenants as provided in the P&S, it would have entered into one or more leases generating rent payments in or before December, 2001.
- 3. The terms of the "Winvest lease" actually entered into by the Respondent for the Premises provide the appropriate basis upon which to calculate the amount of Claimant's equity ownership in the Respondent.
- 4. There shall be no adjustment in the calculation for the cost of the improvements made by Winvest.
- 5. Using the mathematical formula set forth in Paragraph 20 of the P&S applied to the rent figures from the Winvest Lease, Claimant is entitled to 2.8% ownership interest in the Respondent, commencing on January 1, 2002, calculated as follows:

Gross rent (57,156 SF x \$18.25)	\$1,043,097.00
Loss:	•
2% vacancy	20,861.00
3% management	31,292.91
5.1 psf capital reserve	5,715.00
Brokerage \$401,780 / 20yrs	20.089.00
Net rent	\$ 965,139.09

Date

In t	ne Matter of the Arbitration between
Re:	11 115 Y 01777 03  Bahig Bishay ("Claimant") and  Brighton Avenue Associates, LLC ("Respondent")
	Annualized net rent; \$965,139.09 x 10 = \$9,651,391.00
	9.380.000.00 9,651,391.00 = 97.2% 100% less 97.2% = 2.8
	Award
1.	Claimant is awarded a 2.8% interest as a Member of Respondent as of January 1, 2002.
2.	Respondent shall pay to Claimant Fifty Three Thousand Eight Hundred Sixty Dollars and Zero Cents (\$53,860.00) as his share of the net distributions to members made in 2002 and 2003 (\$1,923,605.00 x 2.8%), plus interest at 12% per annum since August 5, 2003 until the date of payment.
3.	Respondent shall pay to Claimant 2.8% of the net distributions to members for the year 2004 and subsequent years, at the same time and in the same manner as it pays all other members of Respondent.
4.	The panel has not considered any matters outside of Paragraph 20 of the P&S.
5.	The administrative fees of the American Arbitration Association ("the Association"), to date, totaling \$14,600.00 and the compensation and expenses of the arbitrators, to date totaling \$34,141.90 shall be borne by Respondent. Therefore, Respondent shall pay to Claimant the sum of \$35,020.00, representing its share of amounts previously advanced to the Association. Respondent shall also pay to the Association the sum of \$13,721.90, representing amounts still due the Association. These amounts reflect all payments made to date.
6.	The above sums are to be paid on or before 45 days from the date of this Award.
Thi	s Award shall remain in full force and effect until such time as a final Award is rendered.    - /2
	Date Charles J. Spelcotis

Paul Peter Nicolai

Paul Peter Nicolai

Date

# **EXHIBIT-C**

## AMERICAN ARBITRATION ASSOCIATION Arbitration Tribunal

In the Matter of the Arbitration between	)	Re: 11 Y 115 01777 03
Bahig Bishay	)	RULING ON RESPONDENTS MOTION TO STAY IMPLEMENTATION OF
Brighton Avenue Associates, LLC	)	INTERIM AWARD
Respondent		

We, THE UNDERSIGNED ARBITRATORS, having been designated in accordance with the arbitration agreement entered into between the above-named parties dated January 12, 2001, (the "P&S") and having been duly swom, and having considered the Respondent's Motion to Stay Implementation of Interim Award and having read and considered the Claimant's Response Memorandum, rule and ORDER as follows:

1. The Motion is denied.

Dated: March 16, 2005

Carla S. Cox, for the panel

# **EXHIBIT-D**

## AMERICAN ARBITRATION ASSOCIATION Arbitration Tribunal

In the Matter of the Arbitration between	)	Re: 11 Y 115 01777 03
Bahig Bishay	) ) )	RULING ON CLAIMANT'S MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION OF INTERIM AWARD
Brighton Avenue Associates, LLC	)	
Respondent		

We, THE UNDERSIGNED ARBITRATORS, having been designated in accordance with the arbitration agreement entered into between the above-named parties dated January 12, 2001, (the "P&S") and having been duly sworn, and having considered the Claimant's Motion for Clarification of the Interim Award and having read and considered the Respondent's Response Memorandum, rule and ORDER as follows:

- 1. The Motion is allowed, and the Interim Award is clarified as set forth herein.
- 2. The panel specifically finds that the Respondent did not violate M.G.L. chapter 93A.

Dated: March 16, 2005

Carla S. Cox, for the panel

# **EXHIBIT-E**

## AMERICAN ARBITRATION ASSOCIATION Arbitration Tribunal

		Re: 11 Y 115 01777 03
In the Matter of the Arbitration between	ý	
	· )	.•
Bahig Bishay	. )	RULING ON RESPONDENTS
•	)	MOTION TO POSTPONE
•	j	HEARINGS ON THE
	j j	PARAGRAPH 22 CLAIM
Brighton Avenue Associates, LLC	)	
	)	
Respondent	i	

We, THE UNDERSIGNED ARBITRATORS, having been designated in accordance with the arbitration agreement entered into between the above-named parties dated January 12, 2001, (the "P&S") and having been duly sworn, and having considered the Respondent's Motion to Postpone the Hearings on the Paragraph 22 Claim, and having read and considered the Claimant's Response Memorandum, rule and ORDER as follows:

- 1. The Panel understands the thrusts of Claimant's claim under Paragraph 22 of the P&S to be (a) the Respondent has already breached its obligations to Claimant as set forth in that Paragraph, and (b) there is no credible basis upon which to conclude that, with a delay until January, the Respondent would reverse the alleged breach and make the Claimant whole.
- 2. Therefor, the panel is not persuaded that there is a good reason to postpone the hearings until after January, 2006, and the Motion is denied.

Dated: March 16, 2005

Carla S. Cox, for the panel

# **EXHIBIT-F**

Date

Date

## AMERICAN ARBITRATION ASSOCIATION

### **Commercial Arbitration Tribunal**

In the l	Matter of the Arbitration between	
B	115 Y 01777 03 ahig Bishay nd righton Avenue Associates, LLC	("Claimant") (Respondent")
	FINAL AWA	ARD OF ARBITRATORS
agreen having previo of the	nent entered into by the above-named been duly sworn, and having duly lusly rendered an Interim Award dated	S, having been designated in accordance with the arbitration of parties and dated January 12, 2001, (the "Contract") and the heard the proofs and allegations of the Parties, and having January 19, 2005, concerning the Claims under Paragraph 20 AWARD concerning the Claims under Paragraph 22 of the
1.	We find for the Respondent on all Cl	aims under Paragraph 22.
2.	The Interim Award dated January 19 Arbitrators.	, 2005 is hereby incorporated into this Final Award of
3.		can Arbitration Association incurred after the Interim Award on and expenses of the arbitrators incurred after the Interim orne equally and have been paid.
4.	This Award is in full settlement of all claims not expressly granted herein ar	claims and counterclaims submitted to this Arbitration. All re hereby denied.
This A and all	ward may be executed in any number of which shall constitute together one	of counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, and the same instrument.
_6	-16-05	Carland Cox
	Date	Carla S. Cox

Charles J. Speleotis

Paul Peter Nicolai

# **EXHIBIT-G**

#### AMERICAN ARBITRATION ASSOCIATION

**Commercial Arbitration Tribunal** 

In the Matter of the Arbitration between

Re: 11 115 Y 01777 03

Bahig Bishay

and

Brighton Avenue Associates, LLC

("Respondent")

("Claimant")

## INTERIM AWARD OF ARBITRATORS ON CLAIM UNDER PARAGRAPH 20 OF THE PARTIES' CONTRACT

We, THE UNDERSIGNED ARBITRATORS, having been designated in accordance with the arbitration agreement entered into between the above-named parties dated January 12, 2001, ("P&S") and having been duly sworn, and having considered the evidence adduced by the parties at the hearings on the Claimant's claim under Paragraph 20 of the P&S, and having duly deliberated, hereby Find and Award as follows:

### **Findings**

- 1. Respondent failed to use its "best efforts to enter into leases . . . (with) third party tenants no later than December 1, 2001" as required by Paragraph 20 of the P&S.
- 2. Had Respondent used its best efforts to enter into leases with third party tenants as provided in the P&S, it would have entered into one or more leases generating rent payments in or before December, 2001.
- 3. The terms of the "Winvest lease" actually entered into by the Respondent for the Premises provide the appropriate basis upon which to calculate the amount of Claimant's equity ownership in the Respondent.
- 4. There shall be no adjustment in the calculation for the cost of the improvements made by Winvest.
- 5. Using the mathematical formula set forth in Paragraph 20 of the P&S applied to the rent figures from the Winvest Lease, Claimant is entitled to 2.8% ownership interest in the Respondent, commencing on January 1, 2002, calculated as follows:

Gross rent (57,156 SF x \$18.25)	\$1,043,097.00
Less:	
2% vacancy	20,861.00
3% management	31,292.91
\$.1 psf capital reserve	5,715.00
Brokerage \$401,780 / 20yrs	20,089.00
Net rent	\$ 965,139.09

In the Matter of the Arbitration between

Re: 11 115 Y 01777 03

Bahig Bishay

("Claimant")

and

Brighton Avenue Associates, LLC

("Respondent")

Annualized net rent:  $$965,139.09 \times 10 = $9,651,391.00$ 

9,380,000.00

9,651,391.00 = 97.2%

100% less 97.2% = 2.8

#### Award

- Claimant is awarded a 2.8% interest as a Member of Respondent as of January 1, 2002. 1.
- Respondent shall pay to Claimant Fifty Three Thousand Eight Hundred Sixty Dollars and Zero 2. Cents (\$53,860.00) as his share of the net distributions to members made in 2002 and 2003 (\$1,923,605.00 x 2.8%), plus interest at 12% per annum since August 5, 2003 until the date of payment.
- Respondent shall pay to Claimant 2.8% of the net distributions to members for the year 2004 and 3. subsequent years, at the same time and in the same manner as it pays all other members of Respondent.
- 4. The panel has not considered any matters outside of Paragraph 20 of the P&S.
- The administrative fees of the American Arbitration Association ("the Association"), to date, 5. totaling \$14,600.00 and the compensation and expenses of the arbitrators, to date totaling \$34,141.90 shall be borne by Respondent. Therefore, Respondent shall pay to Claimant the sum of \$35,020.00, representing its share of amounts previously advanced to the Association. Respondent shall also pay to the Association the sum of \$13,721.90, representing amounts still due the Association. These amounts reflect all payments made to date.
- 6. The above sums are to be paid on or before 45 days from the date of this Award.

This Award shall remain in full force and effect until such time as a final Award is rendered.

Date

Ms. Carla S. Cox

Date

Paul Peter Nicolai

Paul Peter Nicolai

Date

### AMERICAN ARBITRATION ASSOCIATION

**Commercial Arbitration Tribunal** 

In the Matter of the Arbitration between

Re: 11 115 Y 01777 03

("Claimant") Bahig Bishay

and

Brighton Avenue Associates, LLC (Respondent")

#### FINAL AWARD OF ARBITRATORS

WE, THE UNDERSIGNED ARBITRATORS, having been designated in accordance with the arbitration agreement entered into by the above-named parties and dated January 12, 2001, (the "Contract") and having been duly sworn, and having duly heard the proofs and allegations of the Parties, and having previously rendered an Interim Award dated January 19, 2005, concerning the Claims under Paragraph 20 of the Contract, do hereby issue this FINAL AWARD concerning the Claims under Paragraph 22 of the Contract, as follows:

- We find for the Respondent on all Claims under Paragraph 22. 1.
- The Interim Award dated January 19, 2005 is hereby incorporated into this Final Award of 2. Arbitrators.
- The administrative fees of the American Arbitration Association incurred after the Interim Award 3. totaling \$750.00 and the compensation and expenses of the arbitrators incurred after the Interim Award totaling \$34,897.40 shall be borne equally and have been paid.
- 4. This Award is in full settlement of all claims and counterclaims submitted to this Arbitration. All claims not expressly granted herein are hereby denied.

This Award may be executed in any number of counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which shall constitute together one and the same instrument.

Date	Carla S. Cox
June 19, 2005	Charles J. Speleoty
Date	Paul Peter Nicolai

In the Matter of the Arbitration between	
Re: 11 115 Y 01777 03 Bahig Bishay and	("Claimant")
Brighton Avenue Associates, LLC	(Respondent")
I, Carla S. Cox, do hereby affirm upon my of who executed this instrument which is my A	oath as Arbitrator that I am the individual described in and Award.
Date	Carla S. Cox
I, Charles J. Speleotis, do hereby affirm upon and who executed this instrument which is a Date 1, 2005	on my oath as Arbitrator that I am the individual described in my Award.  Charles J. Speleotis
I, Paul Peter Nicolai, do hereby affirm upon and who executed this instrument which is	n my oath as Arbitrator that I am the individual described in my Award.
Date	Paul Peter Nicolai
	•

## **EXHIBIT-H**

## AMERICAN ARBITRATION ASSOCIATION

**Commercial Arbitration Tribunal** 

In the Matter of the Arbitration between

Re: 11 115 Y 01777 03

Bahig Bishay

("Claimant")

and

Brighton Avenue Associates, LLC

("Respondent")

### INTERIM AWARD OF ARBITRATORS ON CLAIM UNDER PARAGRAPH 20 OF THE PARTIES' CONTRACT

We, THE UNDERSIGNED ARBITRATORS, having been designated in accordance with the arbitration agreement entered into between the above-named parties dated January 12, 2001, ("P&S") and having been duly sworn, and having considered the evidence adduced by the parties at the hearings on the Claimant's claim under Paragraph 20 of the P&S, and having duly deliberated, hereby Find and Award as follows:

### **Findings**

- 1. Respondent failed to use its "best efforts to enter into leases . . . (with) third party tenants no later than December 1, 2001" as required by Paragraph 20 of the P&S.
- 2. Had Respondent used its best efforts to enter into leases with third party tenants as provided in the P&S, it would have entered into one or more leases generating rent payments in or before December, 2001.
- 3. The terms of the "Winvest lease" actually entered into by the Respondent for the Premises provide the appropriate basis upon which to calculate the amount of Claimant's equity ownership in the Respondent.
- 4. There shall be no adjustment in the calculation for the cost of the improvements made by Winvest.
- 5. Using the mathematical formula set forth in Paragraph 20 of the P&S applied to the rent figures from the Winvest Lease, Claimant is entitled to 2.8% ownership interest in the Respondent, commencing on January 1, 2002, calculated as follows:

Gross rent (57,156 SF x \$18.25)	\$1,043,097.00
Less:	
2% vacancy	20,861.00
3% management	31,292.91
\$.1 psf capital reserve	5,715.00
Brokerage \$401,780 / 20yrs	20,089.00
Net rent	\$ 965,139.09

In	the	Matter	of the	Arbitration	hetweer

Re: 11 115 Y 01777 03

Bahig Bishay

("Claimant")

and

Brighton Avenue Associates, LLC

("Respondent")

Annualized net rent:  $$965,139.09 \times 10 = $9,651,391.00$ 

9,380,000.00

9,651,391.00 = 97.2%

100% less 97.2% = 2.8

#### Award

- 1. Claimant is awarded a 2.8% interest as a Member of Respondent as of January 1, 2002.
- Respondent shall pay to Claimant Fifty Three Thousand Eight Hundred Sixty Dollars and Zero Cents (\$53,860.00) as his share of the net distributions to members made in 2002 and 2003 (\$1,923,605.00 x 2.8%), plus interest at 12% per annum since August 5, 2003 until the date of payment.
- Respondent shall pay to Claimant 2.8% of the net distributions to members for the year 2004 and subsequent years, at the same time and in the same manner as it pays all other members of Respondent.
- 4. The panel has not considered any matters outside of Paragraph 20 of the P&S.
- 5. The administrative fees of the American Arbitration Association ("the Association"), to date, totaling \$14,600.00 and the compensation and expenses of the arbitrators, to date totaling \$34,141.90 shall be borne by Respondent. Therefore, Respondent shall pay to Claimant the sum of \$35,020.00, representing its share of amounts previously advanced to the Association. Respondent shall also pay to the Association the sum of \$13,721.90, representing amounts still due the Association. These amounts reflect all payments made to date.
- 6. The above sums are to be paid on or before 45 days from the date of this Award.

This Award shall remain in full force and e	ffect until such time as a final Award is rendered.	
Date	Ms. Carla S. Cox	_
Date	Charles J. Speleotis	_
1/11/05 Date	Paul Peter Nicolai	

In the Matter of the Arbitration between	
Re: 11 115 Y 01777 03 Bahig Bishay and	("Claimant")
Brighton Avenue Associates, LLC	("Respondent")
I, Carla S. Cox, do hereby affirm upon my o who executed this instrument which is my A	oath as Arbitrator that I am the individual described in and
Date	Ms. Carla S. Cox
I, Charles J. Speleotis, do hereby affirm upo and who executed this instrument which is n	on my oath as Arbitrator that I am the individual described in my Award.
Date	Charles J. Speleotis
I, Paul Peter Nicolai, do hereby affirm upon and who executed this instrument which is n	my oath as Arbitrator that I am the individual described in my Award.
1/11/05	
Date	Paul Peter Nicolai

### AMERICAN ARBITRATION ASSOCIATION

Commercial Arbitration Tribunal

In the Matter of the Arbitration between

Re: 11 115 Y 01777 03

Bahig Bishay

("Claimant")

and

Brighton Avenue Associates, LLC

(Respondent")

#### FINAL AWARD OF ARBITRATORS

WE, THE UNDERSIGNED ARBITRATORS, having been designated in accordance with the arbitration agreement entered into by the above-named parties and dated January 12, 2001, (the "Contract") and having been duly sworn, and having duly heard the proofs and allegations of the Parties, and having previously rendered an Interim Award dated January 19, 2005, concerning the Claims under Paragraph 20 of the Contract, do hereby issue this FINAL AWARD concerning the Claims under Paragraph 22 of the Contract, as follows:

- 1. We find for the Respondent on all Claims under Paragraph 22.
- 2. The Interim Award dated January 19, 2005 is hereby incorporated into this Final Award of Arbitrators.
- 3. The administrative fees of the American Arbitration Association incurred after the Interim Award totaling \$750.00 and the compensation and expenses of the arbitrators incurred after the Interim Award totaling \$34,897.40 shall be borne equally and have been paid.
- 4. This Award is in full settlement of all claims and counterclaims submitted to this Arbitration. All claims not expressly granted herein are hereby denied.

This Award may be executed in any number of counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which shall constitute together one and the same instrument.

Date	Carla S. Cox			
Date	Charles J. Speleotis			

6/16/05 Paul Peter Nicolai

In the Matter of the Arbitration between	
Re: 11 115 Y 01777 03  Bahig Bishay and	("Claimant")
Brighton Avenue Associates, LLC	(Respondent")
I, Carla S. Cox, do hereby affirm upon my o who executed this instrument which is my A	ath as Arbitrator that I am the individual described in and ward.
Date	Carla S. Cox
I, Charles J. Speleotis, do hereby affirm upo and who executed this instrument which is n	n my oath as Arbitrator that I am the individual described in ny Award.
Date	Charles J. Speleotis
I, Paul Peter Nicolai, do hereby affirm upon and who executed this instrument which is n	my oath as Arbitrator that I am the individual described in ny Award.
6/16/05 Date	Paul Peter Nicolai
Date	radi retei Micolal

# **EXHIBIT-I**

### Bahig F. Bishay

163 Blue Hill Drive
Westwood, Massachusetts 02090
Electronic Mail: BFBishay@Earthlink.net
Facsimile: 781.326.6690
Phone: 781.326.3310

#### VIA FACSIMILE & CERTIFIED MAIL

July 21, 2005

Ms. Karen Fontaine, Supervisor American Arbitration Association 950 Warren Avenue, East Providence, RI 02914

Re:

Case No. 11 115 Y01777 03

Dear Ms. Fontaine:

Pursuant to your letter dated this day, enclosed is my check in the sum of \$225.00. In the interest of efficiency, and to give you a head start in copying the entire Case-file, I am sending this letter via facsimile and also via Certified Mail.

During our conversation this morning, you indicated that pursuant to Rule 47, I was <u>not</u> entitled to receive copies of the entire file. With all due respect, however, I pointed out to you that Rule 47 could not be clearer; is totally unambiguous; and required no interpretation, either pursuant to Rule 53 upon which you mistakenly relied, or otherwise. Suffice it to say that Rule 47 states as follows:

"The AAA shall, upon the written request of a party, furnish to the party, at the party's expense, certified copies of any papers in the AAA's possession that may be required in judicial proceedings relating to the arbitration." [Emphasis added]

Accordingly, and since there can be no doubt that the AAA is in possession of more than the documents exchanged between the parties, as you so confirmed this morning, and specifically documents relating to the engagement of the arbitrators and the communications between the AAA and the arbitrators relative to the above mentioned Case, that the AAA is unequivocally required by its own rule to make <a href="ALL material">ALL material in its possession available to me</a>, and without further delay, so long as such material relate to Case No. 11 115 Y 01777 03.

Unless you can cite specific authority to support your earlier statement that communications between the AAA and the arbitrators are somehow privileged, please make available for my pick up at your office the copies of the entire file as soon as possible. Thank you for your anticipated cooperation.



Bahig F. Bishay

# **EXHIBIT-J**

### Bahig F. Bishay

163 Blue Hill Drive
Westwood, Massachusetts 02090
Electronic Mail: BFBishay@Earthlink.net
Facsimile: 781.326.6690
Phone: 781.326.3310

## DELIVERED VIA "RESTRICTED" US MAIL

RESENT BY PRIORITY MAIL

July 22, 2005

Carla S. Cox, Esq. Handly, Cox & Moorman, PC 9 Abbott Street Beverley, Massachusetts 01915

Re: American Arbitration Association, Case No. 11 115 Y 01777 03

Dear Attorney Cox:

Reference is made to the above mentioned Case, where you served as Panel Member (hereafter "Arbitrator"). As Arbitrator, you were required to execute certain documents which included forms entitled Interim and Final Awards (hereafter "Interim Award").

In said Interim Award and Final Award, the following statement appeared above the signature line:

"I, Carla S. Cox, do hereby affirm upon my oath as Arbitrator that I am the individual described in and who executed this instrument which is my Award."

Pursuant to the foregoing, and in my capacity as the Claimant, I hereby request that you examine the attached form entitled *Interim Award of Arbitrator on Claim Under Paragraph 20 of the Parties' Contract*, which contains your purported signature next to your purported handwriting -- *January 12, 2005*; as well as the attached form entitled *Final Award of Arbitrators*, which contains your purported signature next to your purported hand-writing -- *June 16, 2005*.

Please confirm, with certainty, whether you in fact executed either one of these forms referred to herein as Interim Award and Final Award. Separately, and regardless of your answer to this question, please forward to the undersigned: (a) accurate copies of the Interim Award and Final Award that you know you in fact executed; (b) copies of all your notes, your analysis and your formal or informal decision/opinion which you would've individually authored during the normal course of proceedings in this Case, and for which you would've been fully compensated.

Thank you for your anticipated cooperation, and please feel free to also forward to the undersigned your bill for the time and expenses relating to this time-sensitive request.

Respectfully, I remain ...

Bahig F. Bishay

# **EXHIBIT-K**

### Bahig F. Bishay

163 Blue Hill Drive Westwood, Massachusetts 02090 Electronic Mail: BFBishay@Earthlink.net Facsimile: 781.326.6690 Phone: 781.326.3310

#### DELIVERED VIA "RESTRICTED" US MAIL

July 22, 2005

Charles J. Speleotis, Esq. 20 America Way Salem, Massachusetts 01970-6653

American Arbitration Association, Case No. 11 115 Y 01777 03 Re:

Dear Attorney Speleotis:

Reference is made to the above mentioned Case, where you served as Panel Member (hereafter "Arbitrator"). As Arbitrator, you were required to execute certain documents which included forms entitled Interim and Final Awards (hereafter "Interim Award" & "Final Award").

In said Interim Award and Final Award, the following statement appeared above the signature line:

"I, Charles J. Speleotis, do hereby affirm upon my oath as Arbitrator that I am the individual described in and who executed this instrument which is my Award."

Pursuant to the foregoing, and in my capacity as the Claimant, I hereby request that you examine the attached form entitled Interim Award of Arbitrator on Claim Under Paragraph 20 of the Parties' Contract, which contains your purported signature next to your purported handwriting -- January 19, 2005; as well as the attached form entitled Final Award of Arbitrators. which contains your purported signature next to your purported hand-writing -- June 17, 2005.

Please confirm, with certainty, whether you in fact executed either one of these forms referred to herein as Interim Award and Final Award. Separately, and regardless of your answer to this question, please forward to the undersigned: (a) accurate copies of the Interim Award and Final Award that you know you in fact executed; (b) copies of all your notes, your analysis and your formal or informal decision/opinion which you would've individually authored during the normal course of proceedings in this Case, and for which you would've been fully compensated.

Thank you for your anticipated cooperation, and please feel free to also forward to the undersigned your bill for the time and expenses relating to this time-sensitive request.

Respectfully, I remain ...

Bahig F. Bishay

# **EXHIBIT-L**

### Bahig F. Bishay

Document 1-2

163 Blue Hill Drive Westwood, Massachusetts 02090 Electronic Mail: BFBishay@Earthlink.net Facsimile: 781.326.6690 Phone: 781.326.3310

## DELIVERED VIA "RESTRICTED" US MAIL

RESENT BY PRIORITY MAIL

July 22, 2005

Paul Peter Nicolai, Esq. Nicolai Law Group, P.C. 146 Chestnut Street Springfield, Massachusetts 01103

American Arbitration Association, Case No. 11 115 Y 01777 03

Dear Attorney Nicolai:

Reference is made to the above mentioned Case, where you served as Panel Member (hereafter "Arbitrator"). As Arbitrator, you were required to execute certain documents which included forms entitled Interim and Final Awards (hereafter "Interim Award" & "Final Award").

In said Interim Award and Final Award, the following statement appeared above the signature line:

"I, Paul Peter Nicolai, do hereby affirm upon my oath as Arbitrator that I am the individual described in and who executed this instrument which is my Award."

Pursuant to the foregoing, and in my capacity as the Claimant, I hereby request that you examine the attached form entitled Interim Award of Arbitrator on Claim Under Paragraph 20 of the Parties' Contract, which contains your purported signature next to your purported handwriting -- January 11, 2005; as well as the attached form entitled Final Award of Arbitrators, which contains your purported signature next to your purported hand-writing -- June 16, 2005.

Please confirm, with certainty, whether you in fact executed either one of these forms referred to herein as Interim Award and Final Award. Separately, and regardless of your answer to this question, please forward to the undersigned: (a) accurate copies of the Interim Award and Final Award that you know you in fact executed; (b) copies of all your notes, your analysis and your formal or informal decision/opinion which you would've individually authored during the normal course of proceedings in this Case, and for which you would've been fully compensated.

Thank you for your anticipated cooperation, and please feel free to also forward to the undersigned your bill for the time and expenses relating to this time-sensitive request.

Respectfully, I remain ...



SJS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

### CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS		
BAHIG .	F. BISHAY, PA	Pa 55	AMERICA	ARBITRATion	ASSOCIATION, AND
		_	BRIGHTO	AVENUE A	SSOCIATES, ELC.
(b) County of Residence (EX	CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	FOCK, MH	_ County of Residence of	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O	NLY)
			I	CONDEMNATION CASES, USI	THE LOCATION OF THE
(C) Attorney's (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Number)		Attackys (If Known).	(T)	
(c) Automorphic and a second	The state of the s		05°1	1771	NMG
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in One Be	ox Only)	(For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)
U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a P	'arty) C	Citizen of This State	F DEF i D 1 Incorporated or Pri of Business In This	PTF DEF
☐ 2 U.S. Government	4 Diversity	0	Citizen of Another State	2 Incorporated and Prof Business In A	incipal Place D 5 📈 5
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of Pa	· 1		_	
			Citizen or Subject of a D Foreign Country	3 3 Foreign Nation	0 6 0 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	11	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUFTCY	OTHER STATUTES
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY P	ERSONAL INJURY	J 610 Agriculture	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State Reapportionment
120 Marine 130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product	Med. Malpractice	3 620 Other Food & Drug 3 625 Drug Related Seizure	28 USC 157	☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 30	65 Personal Injury - Product Liability	of Property 21 USC 881  3 630 Liquor Laws	PROPERTY RIGHTS	☐ 450 Commerce ☐ 460 Deportation
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander 🗇 3	68 Asbestos Personal	5 640 R.R. & Truck	☐ 820 Copyrights	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	330 Federal Employers' Liability		3 650 Airline Regs. 3 660 Occupational	830 Patent 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations  480 Consumer Credit
Student Loans		RSONAL PROPERTY	Safety/Health		☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 810 Selective Service
(Excl. Veterans)  153 Recovery of Overpayment		70 Other Fraud 71 Truth in Lending	J 690 Other LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	B 850 Securities/Commodities/
of Veteran's Benefits  160 Stockholders' Suits	350 Motor Vehicle 3 355 Motor Vehicle	80 Other Personal Property Damage	J 710 Fair Labor Standards Act	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	Exchange  875 Customer Challenge
190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability D 3	85 Property Damage	720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	☐ 863 DIWC/DIV/W (405(g))	12 USC 3410
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	Injury	Product Liability [	730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	Sections 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS PRI		740 Railway Labor Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	☐ 892 Economic Stabilization Act
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure	441 Voting 5		790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	893 Environmental Matters     894 Energy Allocation Act
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	O 443 Housing/	labeas Corpus:	Security Act	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	☐ 895 Freedom of Information
☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	I —	330 General 335 Death Penalty		26 USC 7609	Act 900Appeal of Fee Determination
290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 5	40 Mandamus & Other			Under Equal Access
	1 -	550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition			to Justice  950 Constitutionality of
	Other  440 Other Civil Rights				State Statutes
M 1 🗆 🗆	an "X" in One Box Only)  Removed from	anded from 4		ferred from	Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate
	tate Court Appe	llate Court	Reopened (speci ing (Do not cite jurisdictions	fy) Litigation	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON U.S. AR	BITRATION AC		S.C. SECTION 10	(1).
VI. CHOOL OF METE	Brief description of cause:	ACATE AN A	ARBITRATION AG	UARD PROCURGO T	HRACH CURRUPTION FRE
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	CLASS ACTION	DEMANDS 10,000		if demanded in complaint: APD UNDUE
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions): JUD	OGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATTOR	CLAIN	AT PLANTIA	<u> </u>
8/26/05 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					
RECEIPT#	AMOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	OGE

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

				BAHIG F.					<u> </u>
	egory in whic 40.1(a)(1)).	h the case belongs ba	sed upon the	numbered nature of s	uit code	listed on	the civil	cover sheet.	(See local
	l.	160, 410, 470, 535, F	.23, REGARI	DLESS OF NATURE OF	SUIT.				
_	II.			540, 550, 555, 625, 710, 60, 890, 892-894, 895, 95				AO 120 or AC	
X	III.			230, 240, 245, 290, 310, 360, 362, 365, 370, 371,					
_	IV.	220, 422, 423, 430, 4 690, 810, 861-865, 8		510, 530, 610, 620, 630, 900.	640, 65		<b>σ</b> ,	1 1 7	7
	V.	150, 152, 153.			U	KDV	<b>(</b> ,	117	71
			•	al rule 40.1(g)). If more irst filed case in this co		e prior rel	ated cas	se has been fil	ed in this
Has	a prior actio	on between the same p	arties and ba	sed on the same claim	ever be YES	en filed ir	this co	urt?	
Doe	s the compla	aint in this case questi	on the const	itutionality of an act of	congres	s affectin	g the pu	iblic interest?	(See 28
USC	C §2403)							<b>⊠</b>	
If so	o, is the U.S.	A. or an officer, agent	or employee	of the U.S. a party?	YES		ОИ	נאַו	
					YES		NO		
ls th	his case requ	uired to be heard and o	letermined by	y a district court of thre	e judge: YES	pursuan	it to title ND	28 USC §2284	17
				mmantal agencies of th					
		ties in this action, exc "governmental agenc		ng in Massachusetts res	ide in ti		division1	? - (See Local	
	ssachusetts	("governmental agenc	ies"), residir	ng in Massachusetts res	ide in ti YES	ne same d			
		("governmental agenc	ies"), residir		ide in ti YES	ne same d	livision1	? - (See Local	
	ssachusetts	("governmental agenc If yes, in which divi Eastern Division	sion do <u>all</u> of	f the non-governmental  Central Division  ajority of the plaintiffs	YES parties	reside?	NO NO Wes	? - (See Local	Rule 40.1(d)).
	ssachusetts (	("governmental agence If yes, in which diving Eastern Division If no, in which divis	sion do <u>all</u> of	f the non-governmental  Central Division  ajority of the plaintiffs	YES parties	reside?	NO NO Wes	? - (See Local	Rule 40.1(d)).
Mas	A.  B.  Sing a Notice	If yes, in which diving Eastern Division If no, in which division agencies, residing Eastern Division	sion do <u>all</u> of	f the non-governmental  Central Division  ajority of the plaintiffs usetts reside?	YES parties  or the or	reside?	NO NO Wes s, exclud	? - (See Local  tern Division  ding government  tern Division	Rule 40.1(d)).
Mas	A.  B.  Sing a Notice	If yes, in which diving Eastern Division If no, in which division agencies, residing	sion do <u>all</u> of	f the non-governmental  Central Division lajority of the plaintiffs usetts reside?  Central Division	YES parties  or the or	reside?	NO NO Wes s, exclud	? - (See Local  tern Division  ding government  tern Division	Rule 40.1(d)).
Mas . If fil sub	A.  B.  Hing a Notice omit a separa	If yes, in which diving Eastern Division  If no, in which division agencies, residing Eastern Division  of Removal - are therefate sheet identifying the	sion do <u>all</u> of	f the non-governmental  Central Division  ajority of the plaintiffs usetts reside?  Central Division  s pending in the state of	yES parties pr the or	reside?	NO Wes s, exclud Wes e attention	tern Division ding governmentern Division on of this Cour	Rule 40.1(d)).
Mas . If fil sub	A.  B.  Hing a Notice omit a separa	If yes, in which diving Eastern Division  If no, in which division  If no, in which division  Eastern Division  of Removal - are there are sheet identifying the	sion do <u>all</u> of	f the non-governmental  Central Division lajority of the plaintiffs usetts reside?  Central Division	yES parties prities or the or	reside?	NO Wes s, exclud Wes e attention	tern Division ding governmentern Division on of this Cour	Rule 40.1(d)).